

1 Bonita P. Martinez, Esq. (SBN 153346)  
2 **LAW OFFICES OF BONITA P. MARTINEZ**  
3 10731 Treena Street, Suite 104  
4 San Diego, California 92131  
5 Telephone: 858-437-5827  
6 Facsimile: 858-257-2662

7 Attorney for Respondent,  
8 Larry Millete

9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
10 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

11 PABLITO TABALANZA AND NOEMI  
12 TABALANZA,

13 PETITIONERS,

14 V.

15 LARRY MILLETE AND MAYA MILLETE,  
16 RESPONDENTS.

CASE NO.: 21FL005040S

LARRY MILLETE'S ANSWER TO THE  
AMENDED PETITION FOR  
GRANDPARENT VISITATION UNDER  
FAMILY CODE SECTIONS 3100-3104

17 Respondent, Larry Millete, hereby answers the Petitioners Pablito Tabalanza and Noemi  
18 Tabalanza as follows:

19 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 20
- 21 1. I am married to May Millete and together we have three children, ages 4 (son), 9 and 11  
22 years old (2 daughters). We live in a five-bedroom house in Chula Vista, California  
23 together. My parents Benito and Judith Millete also reside with us to assist in taking care  
24 of our three children.  
25

- 1 2. Our three children are all attending school in person and they have different schedules,  
2 school work, and homework. They also attend extracurricular activities after school and  
3 on weekends.  
4
- 5 3. Petitioners are my wife's parents who are elderly and in poor health and reside in a three-  
6 bedroom house in Moreno Valley, Riverside, California together with their daughter, her  
7 husband and their children.  
8
- 9 4. Petitioners speak some English but mostly speak "Ilocano" (dialect in the Philippines).  
10 Communication between them and the children have been difficult and limited due to this  
11 language barrier. Through the years, they did not have much communication.  
12
- 13 5. My wife May voluntarily left me and our three children on or about January 8, 2021. We  
14 do not know her whereabouts. Her disappearance is considered suspicious or criminal.  
15 The Chula Vista Police Department stated that I am not a suspect and there is no evidence  
16 of foul-play.  
17
- 18 6. May, my wife, had expressed interest in leaving the family. She has been intoxicated  
19 more frequently, out drinking with friends and with her relatives. She has been acting  
20 erratically and locking herself inside the bedroom and would not allow our children to see  
21 her at times and she would often not join the children for breakfast, lunch or dinner. I  
22 would take her food inside the bedroom where she stayed most of the time. When I was  
23 not home to bring her food inside our room, my father would take the food to her  
24 upstairs.  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 1 7. I considered her still alive because she had voluntarily left our house at least twice in  
2 2020 without saying goodbye to me or to our three children. Her whereabouts are still  
3 unknown. We have been praying for her safety and well-being.  
4
- 5 8. The Petitioners made wild and false allegations in their petition. Their family continue to  
6 accuse me and implying that I killed my wife Maya. They want to destroy me and they  
7 slander and defame me. And now they claim they want to see my children.  
8
- 9 9. There exists a division between my family and the Petitioners family. Instead of sharing  
10 in our distress regarding my wife's disappearance, they became hostile, accusatory,  
11 hateful and continuously blaming me for something that I did not do. How can my  
12 children be at peace in the Petitioners' house in view of the recent events of defamatory  
13 statements made by Petitioners and family. The Petitioners never asked the children if  
14 they need anything. It is not that we are eager for the gifts, but the grandparents do not  
15 give the children any birthday presents, no Christmas presents, have not attended any of  
16 their school programs or activities. They did not even call to greet them happy birthday or  
17 give them a phone call or text message. Yet they claim they have a close relationship  
18 with them.  
19  
20  
21
- 22 10. This is another scheme in order to interrogate the children in reference to their mother's  
23 disappearance. May left our home and family, and petitioners wrongfully put the blame  
24 on me.  
25
- 26 11. Their pleadings submitted to the court contain misleading misrepresentations of material  
27 facts, and this petition is done in bad faith because Petitioners along with their daughter  
28

1 and son-in-law intent in communicating with our children is to interrogate the children  
2 regarding their missing mother, May.  
3

4 12. Upon information and belief, the acts of Petitioners prevented Respondent from taking  
5 the children for visits with Petitioners in Riverside County.  
6

7 13. Therefore, the Petitioners should be estopped from seeking visitation with the children.  
8

9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
10 foregoing is true and correct.  
11

12 Dated: 26AUG21

Larry C. Millete  
Respondent, Larry Millete