

Civil Rights Department

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 (voice) | 800-700-2320 (TTY) | California's Relay Service at 711 calcivilrights.ca.gov | contact.center@calcivilrights.ca.gov

October 12, 2023



RE: Notice to Complainant

CRD Matter Number: 202310-22308813

Right to Sue: Leos / San Diego Police Department et al.

Dear Alberto Leos:

Attached is a copy of your complaint of discrimination filed with the Civil Rights Department (CRD) pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, Government Code section 12900 et seq. Also attached is a copy of your Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue.

Pursuant to Government Code section 12962, CRD will not serve these documents on the employer. You must serve the complaint separately, to all named respondents. If you do not have an attorney, you must serve the complaint yourself. Please refer to the attached Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue for information regarding filing a private lawsuit in the State of California. A courtesy "Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint" is attached for your convenience.

Be advised that the CRD does not review or edit the complaint form to ensure that it meets procedural or statutory requirements.

Sincerely,

Civil Rights Department



Civil Rights Department

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 (voice) | 800-700-2320 (TTY) | California's Relay Service at 711 calcivilrights.ca.gov | contact.center@calcivilrights.ca.gov

October 12, 2023

RE: Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint

CRD Matter Number: 202310-22308813

Right to Sue: Leos / San Diego Police Department et al.

To All Respondent(s):

Enclosed is a copy of a complaint of discrimination that has been filed with the Civil Rights Department (CRD) in accordance with Government Code section 12960. This constitutes service of the complaint pursuant to Government Code section 12962. The complainant has requested an authorization to file a lawsuit. A copy of the Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the attached complaint for a list of all respondent(s) and their contact information.

No response to CRD is requested or required.

Sincerely,

Civil Rights Department



Civil Rights Department

2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 (voice) | 800-700-2320 (TTY) | California's Relay Service at 711 calcivilrights.ca.gov | contact.center@calcivilrights.ca.gov

October 12, 2023



RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

CRD Matter Number: 202310-22308813

Right to Sue: Leos / San Diego Police Department et al.

Dear Alberto Leos:

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint filed with the Civil Rights Department (CRD) has been closed effective October 12, 2023 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must contact the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this CRD Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Civil Rights Department

1

2

3

4

5

7

VS.

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27 28

COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BEFORE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Civil Rights Department
Under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act
(Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.)

In the Matter of the Complaint of

Alberto Leos

Complainant,

San Diego Police Department

,

David Nisleit

Terrence Charlot

Respondents

- **1.** Respondent **San Diego Police Department** is an **employer** subject to suit under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.).
- **2**.Complainant is naming **David Nisleit** individual as Co-Respondent(s). Complainant is naming **Terrence Charlot** individual as Co-Respondent(s).
- 3. Complainant Alberto Leos, resides in the City of Chula Vista, State of CA.
- **4**. Complainant alleges that on or about **October 12, 2023**, respondent took the following adverse actions:
- **Complainant was harassed** because of complainant's ancestry, national origin (includes language restrictions), other, race (includes hairstyle and hair texture).
- **Complainant was discriminated against** because of complainant's ancestry, national origin (includes language restrictions), other, race (includes hairstyle and hair texture) and as a result of the discrimination was denied hire or promotion, demoted, asked impermissible non-job-related questions, denied any employment benefit or privilege, other, denied work opportunities or assignments, denied or forced to transfer.

Complaint - CRD No. 202310-22308813

Date Filed: October 12, 2023

CRD No. 202310-22308813

Date Filed: October 12, 2023

Complainant experienced retaliation because complainant reported or resisted any form of discrimination or harassment, participated as a witness in a discrimination or harassment complaint and as a result was denied hire or promotion, demoted, asked impermissible non-job-related questions, denied any employment benefit or privilege, other, denied work opportunities or assignments, denied or forced to transfer.

Additional Complaint Details: Captain Alberto Leos has been a police officer for over thirty-six years, with thirteen years of experience as a Sergeant and Detective-Sergeant, four years as a Police Lieutenant and over seven years as a Captain. Throughout his tenure, Captain Leos has been an exceptional employee with the Department, earning numerous commendations and awards throughout his employment.

Throughout his tenure, Captain Leos has applied for Assistant Chief of the Department on six separate occasions, and each time was turned down without a clear explanation, despite his long successful tenure with the department. In fact, despite San Diego's heavy Hispanic presence, the vast majority of the San Diego Police Department's Chief Executive Committee's throughout the years have been non-Hispanic, a perplexingly inaccurate representation of the community at large. Notably, since Captain Leos became Captain, he repeatedly watched the Department promote less-experienced, White Captains over him. Each time Captain Leos inquired about why the Department did not promote him, he was given pretextual excuses, such as "keep doing what you're doing" or "maybe next time."

Though Captain Leos has always felt that the Department's consistent exclusion of him from Assistant Chief positions were a result of him being singled out due to his race, he has continued to keep his head down and put everything he has into his work, continuing to conduct exemplary work, receiving commendations and community praise for his dedication to law enforcement.

In 2021 however, these issues began to come to a head when he discovered documents were illegally forged under his name and attempted to address the situation to protect himself and his integrity. On or about June 8, 2021, official city documents were prepared for Officer Katherine Lonthair ("Officer Lonthair") with findings of a Cat-III collision (punishment for a Category III finding is 240 hours of suspension (24 workdays) and an 8-hour traffic class). Officer Lonthair, with the assistance of her attorney, appealed the collision findings. On August 6, 2021, Lieutenant Duane Voss ("Lieutenant Voss") upheld the Cat-III findings.

Captain Leos was not part of the original findings or the appeal process. Understandably, he was surprised when on December 16, 2021, Captain Jeffery Peterson ("Captain Peterson") called him into his office thanking him for changing Officer Lonthair's Cat-III findings (in contradiction of the appeal findings), to a Cat-II (reprimand with 8-hour traffic class). Captain Leos reviewed the documents and discovered that they were in fact changed from Cat-III to Cat-II without his knowledge or permission, and the documents were fraudulently prepared with his name on the documents. Captain Leos called his subordinate Sergeant Brandy Sorbie ("Sergeant Sorbie") to inquire further. Sergeant Sorbie informed him that Captain Leos' direct supervisor, Chief Terrence Charlot ("Chief Charlot") instructed Sergeant Sorbie to change Officer Lonthair's collision from a Cat-III to a Cat-II and to sign with Captain Leos' name.

```
Captain Leos, shocked at this admission, inquired whether there were other, similar
     situations. Sergeant Sorbie advised him there was another case involving Officer Victoria
     Hickman ("Officer Hickman") on or around October 21, 2021, wherein Chief Charlot
     instructed Sergeant Sorbie to reduce Officer Hickman's report from a Cat-III to a Cat-II
 3
     collision. Sergeant Sorbie memorialized Chief Charlot's request via email. At the end of the
     conversation, Captain Leos requested Sergeant Sorbie re-submit Officer Lonthair's
 4
     documents with Chief Charlot's name instead of Captain Leos' name. Sergeant Sorbie
     made the changes and re-sent the updated document to Chief Charlot.
 5
     On January 12, 2022, Executive Chief Chris McGrath ("Chief McGrath) and Chief Charlot
     emailed Captain Leos inviting him to a meeting the following day. There was no reason
     given for the meeting in the calendar invite. Captain Leos inquired about the reason for the
     meeting and asked if he was in trouble. At approximately 4 p.m. Chief Charlot responded to
     Captain Leos inquiry with an ambiguous and illusive 'Maybe yes, maybe no.' At that point,
     Captain Leos understood he would need to memorialize all communications moving forward
     to maintain a clear record of events in order to preserve his integrity.
    The next day, Chief McGrath and Chief Charlot met with Captain Leos and began berating
     him, accusing him of being unsupportive of Unit #1, referring to Chief Dave Nisleit ("Chief D.
    Nisleit"). Chief McGrath referred to the Cat-III documents and demanded that Captain Leos
10
     'go along with the program.' Captain Leos told Chief McGrath that the documents were
11
     changed by Chief Charlot without his permission after being upheld on appeal, and that this
     was illegal. Chief McGrath said he did not care whether Captain Leos had knowledge of
12
     what was on the documents, and that he should 'go along with the program.' Captain Leos
     requested Chief McGrath investigate Chief Charlot's illegal acts. Chief McGrath responded,
13
     "No, we are just having a conversation here."
     After the meeting, Chief Charlot stepped out of the office and Captain Leos again requested
14
     Chief McGrath investigate the situation and interview Captain Leos' Lieutenants about the
     illegally altered documents. Chief McGrath refused, stating that an investigation would be
15
     unnecessary and inappropriate. Captain Leos understood there was nothing further he could
     do to address the situation and continued on with his duties to the best of his ability.
16
     On February 14, 2022, another similar issue came up relating to Chief D. Neisleit when
     several community members went to the media and social media and demanded an
17
     investigation into Chief D. Nisleit for changing police collision from Cat-III to Cat-II.
18
     Additionally, community members demanded a formal investigation into Chief D. Nisleit's
     son, Officer Ryan Nisleit ("Officer R. Nisleit"), for a collision he was involved in and was
19
     never disciplined for on or around April 3, 2020.
     By way of background, Chief D. Nisleit's friend, Captain Danny Grubbs ("Captain Grubbs"),
20
     was the Captain at the Central Division where Officer R. Nisleit was assigned at the time of
     the collision. Furthermore, after Captain Leos gave Captain Grubbs the documents
21
     recording a Cat-III collision finding, Captain Grubbs called Captain Leos stating that he
     disagreed with the findings. Captain Leos informed Captain Grubbs he should not get
22
     personally involved and there was an appeal process Officer R. Nisleit could follow.
     On March 8, 2022, Captain Leos received a call from David McKean, Supervising
23
     Investigator with City Attorney's Office, Fraud/Criminal Division ("Investigator McKean")
     requesting to ask a few questions in a confidential investigation regarding the allegations
24
     made by the community activist. Captain Leos told Investigator McKean that to his
25
26
                                  Complaint - CRD No. 202310-22308813
27
     Date Filed: October 12, 2023
```

28

knowledge, many of the allegations had truth to them, and that he had evidence and witnesses to back the allegations against Chief Nisleit and the other Chiefs. 2 Several months passed with no feedback from Investigator McKean. Captain Leos emailed him requesting an update on his investigation. Investigator McKean responded that did not 3 find any substantiation to the allegations. Captain Leos reiterated that he had evidence which was never reviewed and witnesses who were never interviewed. In response, 4 Investigator McKean stated he was "looking out for the department heads and the city." Shocked by the conversation, Captain Leos memorialized a synopsis of the phone 5 conversation. On February 15, 2022, Chief D. Nisleit called Captain Leos regarding the community activists on social media. Chief D. Nisleit requested to meet at a Starbucks in La Mesa to discuss the community activist and insinuated that Captain Leos leaked the information. Chief D. Nisleit inquired about Officer R. Nisleit's prior collision and why Captain Leos disagreed about the Cat-III collision; although Captain Leos did not feel comfortable talking about the collisions. Captain Leos provided Chief D. Nisleit with the background of his issues with Chief Charlot, the fraudulent collision reports, and his pushback on the issues, creating a stressful, hostile work environment at the Traffic Division. Captain Leos also advised him that Chief Charlot had threatened Captain Leos on many occasions for not 10 being "loyal" to him and the 7th floor. Additionally, Captain Leos detailed undermining by 11 Captain Laura McLane ("Captain McLane"), whom many in the department believed was (and is) having an affair with Chief Charlot, and the January 13, 2022, meeting. In response, 12 Chief D. Nisleit told Captain Leos that he directed Chief Charlot to change the discipline on Cat-III documents, but not to change them to Cat-II's. Chief D. Nisleit claimed he would 13 never ask anyone to change collision categories that were upheld on appeals as that would be wrong. The meeting concluded and Captain Leos memorialized the exact conversation in 14 his calendar. The following few months were hostile and passive aggressive towards Captain Leos. Chief 15 Charlot rarely spoke with Captain Leos and began regularly skipping him in the chain of command --- going directly to his Lieutenants for information on cases, and openly excluding 16 Captain Leos from the conversations. This furthered and exacerbated the hostile work environment Captain Leos was already experiencing and added to his emotional distress. 17 On June 8, 2022, Assistant Chief Bernie Colon ("Chief Colon") informed Captain Leos that 18 Chief McGrath and Chief Charlot were upset with Captain Leos regarding the Cat-III collision documents. Chief Colon informed Captain Leos that Chief Charlot was going on vacation for 19 the week and that Captain was not going to be allowed to cover for him as Acting Assistant Chief during his absence. Captain Leos understood that any time as Acting Assistant Chief 20 would have been invaluable experience and an opportunity to show himself as competent and able to handle the Assistant position when it became available. Captain Leos quickly 21 understood this stolen opportunity was an adverse employment action in retaliation for speaking up against Chief Charlot's illegal actions. Shocked, distressed, and discouraged, 22 Captain Leos memorialized the conversation with Chief Colon. The unfairness of the situation bothered Captain Leos to no end, and later that week, he 23 requested a meeting with Chief Colon, during which time, he explained the Cat-III documents and showed Chief Colon the altered documents. Chief Colon was left without 24 words as a former Captain at the Traffic Division with immense knowledge of the traffic appeals process. Captain Leos explained how the adverse treatment from his superiors 25 26 Complaint - CRD No. 202310-22308813 27 Date Filed: October 12, 2023

28

since the situation arose had affected him. Captain Leos suffered immense emotional distress as a result of the situation and was understandably concerned for his own wellbeing in light of the same. He made an effort to memorialize his feelings in writing: I am feeling the STRESS and have put up with this for the past 2 years. I am tired and I 3 need to find an outside agency to assist with some type of formal investigation into this unethical/illegal behavior and for the double standards in treatment I have had to put up with 4 as the other "Good old boys" SWAT/GANG Captains get preferential treatment. I am documenting all of this on email in case something happens to me, at least the investigators 5 will know what was going on at the time of my mishap. Chief Nisleit created this type of atmosphere with his "inner circle of managers and executives" that he promoted causing all of this undue harm and STRESS on my life and other Captain's lives (Captain Sainz, Captain Holden, Captain Del Toro). This is the first time in my 30+ career I feel I am being treated differently because of my ethnicity (Hispanic). I do not see or hear of this type of treatment happening to the "Good Old SWAT Boys" in Chief Nisleit's inner circle. On June 29, 2022, upon Chief Charlot's return from vacation, he met with Captain Leos and expressed disappointment with Captain Leos for informing Chief Colon of the Cat-III document alterations. Chief Charlot stated he was not allowing Captain Leos to cover as Acting Chief because Chief Charlot "could not trust" him, in reference to the Cat-III 10 document alterations. Chief D. Charlot further informed Captain Leos that he could not cover 11 as Acting Chief in the coming weeks when Chief D. Charlot was going to Virginia for management school—notably, another retaliatory action with a substantial adverse effect on 12 Captain Leos' long term career. No clear substantive reason was given for this unfair 13 For the sake of his mental health, Captain Leos attempted to ignore the situation and continue his job duties with his head down, despite the unfairness of the circumstances that 14 had transpired against him. However, the hostile work environment against him, and the emotional distress resulting from the same, continued. 15 On or around May 9, 2023, Captain Leos became ill with a virus, requiring him to take several days off work to recover. Chieft Charlot called Captain Leos to scold him for not 16 attending the CIB line-up the previous day where Chief Nisleit presented an award to CIB Officer Zirpolo. Chief Charlot stated, "Just because you have a baby boy, doesn't mean you 17 can't meet your obligations!" Chief Charlot further criticized Captain Leos for not attending 18 the Line-up while he was sick. In August 2023, there was an opening for an Assistant Chief Position. The interview was for 19 a new position created for an Assistant Chief for Government Affairs and for two more positions that were going to be available once two Assistant Chiefs, who were expected to 20 retire soon, retired. Captain Leos applied and was chosen for an interview. On August 18, 2023, Captain Leos was interviewed by Chief D. Nisleit and Chief McGrath. 21 At the conclusion of the interview, Chief D. Nisleit brought up the prior year's situation with the collision categories and said that there was nothing illegal regarding what Chief D. 22 Nisleit instructed Chief Charlot to do in changing the collision categories from Cat-III's to Cat-II's. Chief D. Nisleit pointed to the stars on his collar and said that as the Chief of Police, 23 he could make changes to any documents he wanted to. Captain Leos quickly understood what was on the forefront of the mind of the interviewers, and that as a result, he was not 24 going to be considered for the position in light of his complaints of illegal activity. 25

Complaint - CRD No. 202310-22308813

Date Filed: October 12, 2023

26

27

28

In fact, since the time since Captain Leos became aware of the illegal changes to the collision findings from Cat-III's to Cat-II's on Officer Lonthair and Officer Hickman's collisions, Captain Leos has been the only Captain in the entire department excluded from the opportunity to act as an Acting Assistant Chief when his direct supervisor Chief Charlot 3 went on vacation, in training, or when the Executive Chief is gone, and Captain Leos' supervisor has been Acting Executive Chief. As a result, Captain Leos has not had the 4 opportunity to gain valuable experience or prove his capabilities in this position. Meanwhile, other Captains junior to Captain Leos, with less experience and tenure, have had the 5 opportunities to cover for their superiors when they have been out of the office, allowing the other Captains to grow and gain experience at the Executive level. 6 Despite Captain Leos' numerous complaints to supervisors within the Department, to date. nothing has been done to address the harassment, hostile work environment, and retaliatory denials of crucial opportunities to grow and develop within the Department. Captain Leos' continues to remain in a chronic state of anxiety, uncertainty, confusion, and extreme emotional distress. Feeling consummated with the issues in the past years, and feeling left with no alternative, Captain Leos recently filed a Worker's Compensation claim to receive treatment for emotional issues related to the situation. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 -6-Complaint - CRD No. 202310-22308813 27 Date Filed: October 12, 2023 28

	1
1	VERIFICATION
2	I, Emilia Arutunian , am the Attorney in the above-entitled complaint. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The matters alleged are based on information and belief, which I believe to be true.
4 5	On October 12, 2023, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
6	San Diego, California
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	-7-
27	Complaint – CRD No. 202310-22308813
28	Date Filed: October 12, 2023
	CRD-ENF 80 RS (Revised 12/22)